

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

The specification has been objected to as failing to provide proper antecedent basis for the claimed subject matter. More specifically, the Examiner has correctly pointed out that the expressions "housing" and "presentation area" have no antecedent basis in the specification.

Page 11 of the specification has been amended to recite that a presentation area 13 is formed between the header panel 12n and a bottom shelf 12t. In this regard, page 11 has also been amended to change the reference numeral to the top header panel from "12s" to "12n." 12s refers to one of the side extensions on the work support structure as seen on page 11, line 11. With this amendment, it is believed that the objections to the specification have been overcome.

Fig. 1 of the drawings has been amended as shown to designate the presentation area 13 and to re-label the header panel 12s to 12n. Since the word "housing" has been deleted from the claims and "presentation area" has now been defined in the specification, it is believed that the replacement sheet showing Fig. 1 cures the drawing objections in those respects.

With respect to "ornamental side", the Examiner's attention is respectfully directed to page 10, line 11 and Fig. 2 where the ornamental side 12p is shown. Accordingly, it is respectfully submitted that no further amendments to the drawings are necessary as "ornamental side" is both described and labelled.

Turning to the claim rejection, Claims 28, and 2-27 stand rejected under 112 for reasons set forth in page 3 of the Office Action. It is respectfully submitted that with the amendments to Claim 28, the 112 rejections have been overcome. Specifically, Claim 28 has been amended to delete the reference to the housing and to set forth that the presentation area is formed between the header and the shelf. As discussed above with respect to the amendment of the specification to describe the presentation area, it is believed that this clarifies that the presentation support structure defines a presentation area.


With respect to the Examiner's position regarding the presentation area being "viewable from a user," that wording has been deleted from Claim 28 since it is merely functional. More specifically, Claim 28 now sets forth that the work support structure has a work side and an ornamental side and is movable from a first position wherein the work side is generally transverse to the presentation area to a second position wherein the work side overlays the presentation area. It is believed that this clarifies the relationship between the various structural elements and obviates the rejection under 112.

Applicant notes with appreciation that Claims 28 and 2-27 would be allowable if written or amended to overcome the rejections under 112, second paragraph.

Appl. No.: 10/633,140
Amendment Dated: September 21, 2006
Reply to Office Action of July 24, 2006

It is respectfully submitted that those rejections have been overcome and, accordingly, it is respectfully submitted that all claims are in condition for allowance which is hereby earnestly solicited and respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,



C. James Bushman
Reg. No. 24,810

Date: September 21, 2006

BROWNING BUSHMAN P.C.
5718 Westheimer, Suite 1800
Houston, Texas 77057-5771
Tel.: (713) 266-5593
Fax: (713) 266-5169

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

I certify that this document is being deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to Commissioner of Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 [37 CFR 1.8(a)] on

9-21-06



Cathy Hayes